

Present: Chairperson Borowski; Vice-Chairperson Belaustegui; Members: Bliven, Domzal, Fox, Jensen, Robiner, Smith and Tillman

Absent: None

Also Present: Building official, Byrwa  
Council members - Craig, Downey, Stearn and Walsh  
Planning consultants, Birchler and Wyrosdick  
Village Manager, Hanlin  
Assistant to the Manager, Pasieka

Chairperson Borowski called the meeting to order at 7:33 p.m. in the Village municipal building at 18500 W. Thirteen Mile Road.

#### **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

The agenda was accepted as prepared.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE PUBLISHED AGENDA**

Patricia Greening of 19289 Riverside, member of the Parks and Recreation Board, announced that a fund raiser for the park will be held at the Beverly Hills Club on Southfield Road on Friday, March 17 from 6-11 p.m. The cost will be \$25 per family or \$10 per person and will include the use of the entire club, games, prizes, disk jockey with dancing, and many activities planned for the family. The donation will cover everything but the food sold on the premises.

#### **APPROVE MINUTES**

MOTION by Bliven, supported by Fox, that the minutes of a regular Planning Board meeting held on Wednesday, January 26, 2000 be approved as submitted.

Motion passes unanimously.

#### **PUBLIC DISCUSSION TO ASCERTAIN AND QUANTIFY THE NEED FOR SIDEWALKS, BIKEWAYS, CROSSWALKS OR PEDESTRIAN SAFETY PATHS IN THE VILLAGE**

Borowski stated that the Planning Board has received direction from Council to prepare a master plan for sidewalks, bikeways, crosswalks and pedestrian safety paths for the Village. The purpose of tonight's meeting is to engage public discussion on safety or accessibility issues in the community. The Planning Board will consider the ideas and comments expressed by residents over the next few weeks. It is intended to hold another public forum on this topic in May.

Planning consultant Katherine Wyrosdick from Birchler Arroyo explained that the board is in the initial stages of this study and will assess alternative accessibility routes by considering sidewalks, bikeways, crosswalks and pedestrian safety issues. There is no preconceived notion of what this plan will reveal and what recommendations will result.

The first stage of the plan involves an existing conditions survey and analysis. It is an assessment of what is on the ground today and what problems, if any, residents have with the current condition of the sidewalks considering the gaps in existing sidewalks, inaccessibility to community facilities, and safety concerns. A public hearing was scheduled to hear where residents perceive that there are problem areas and where they feel there are no problems.

Along with the existing conditions analysis, the planners have divided the Village into focus areas. These are areas to be studied and not routes for sidewalks. Wyrosdick referred to a map which designates these areas of interest.

The Planning Board will proceed to establish goals and objectives that will address what is expected to be achieved as a result of this study. The board will then proceed with development of alternative solutions. Strategies for solving problems that have been identified will be reviewed. Wyrosdick related that alternatives could mean a number of things such as sidewalks, crosswalks, bikeways that are separate from the roadway, or expanding the roadway to include bikeways. This is an important element of the study.

The board will then determine which alternatives will best meet the needs of the Village and the goals that have been identified. Design standards that address the solutions will be developed. Once the alternatives have been set, the board will consider the best way to implement them. There may be some discussion of available funding alternatives.

Borowski opened the floor for comments from the public.

Frank Worrell of 32123 Bellvine Trail asked if the Planning Board was directed to conduct this sidewalk study by a formal motion of Council. He questioned the date of that motion and the time frame for providing Council with a recommendation.

Borowski responded that Council allocated funds for this study as part of its budget process for this fiscal year.

Sue Plummer of 21300 W. 13 Mile Road stated that she has 400 feet of frontage on 13 Mile Road and has beautiful trees on her property. She does not want sidewalks installed. Plummer commented that they bought a home in Beverly Hills because of its rural character. She suggested that lowering the speed limit and enforcing the speed limit on 13 Mile, Evergreen and Lahser Roads would deter traffic from the area. The roads would be less dangerous and sidewalks would not be needed.

Alda Marie McCook of 19370 Riverside Drive asserted that sidewalks that are existing are not used. This is not a large community, and people walk in the street. She maintains that the Village must enact an ordinance that prohibits people from walking in the street if sidewalks are installed with the assumption that people will use them and not walk on the street. McCook does not want sidewalks in her area.

Sherry Suggs of 31015 Rivers Edge and president of the Rivers Edge Homeowners Association listed the concerns of the neighborhood with respect to sidewalks. The Village is asking the residents to vote on a millage cap increase for capital projects in March while the Planning Board is considering sidewalks. Residents in her area do not think that sidewalks are a priority nor are they needed along 13 Mile Road. She asked why sidewalks are being discussed if additional millage is needed to operate the Village.

Maintenance of sidewalks and liability factors are concerns of Rivers Edge residents. Homeowners are also concerned about being required to pay for half of the cost of constructing a sidewalk abutting their property. People in Rivers Edge subdivision are concerned about the possibility of losing their trees and green space if sidewalks are constructed. They are also concerned about bringing in outside people with sidewalks along major roads. There is an added concern that sidewalks will be littered by people who use them. Suggs stated that she is a high school teacher and observes that kids drive and do not walk to Groves High School.

Dorothy Pfeifer of 160 Charrington Court mentioned that a feasibility study on bike paths was prepared in 1985 by Pollack Design Associates. It included options for bike paths that were both off and on the roads. There was almost an equal number of people objecting to bike paths in 1985 as there were proponents of bike paths. It was an ambitious project that the Village could not afford. The study did not get as far as being placed on the ballot for voter approval; it died a natural death. Pfeifer would hate to see the Planning Board duplicate this existing study.

Michael O'Shea of 31021 Old Stage Road, president of Georgetown North Homeowners Association, stated that the association has supported sidewalks in the past. Both North Georgetown and Georgetown Subdivision groups have talked about sidewalks in the past with respect to what the residents could do on their own. He referred to patches of existing sidewalk with missing sections on the western edge of the Village going eastward to Lahser.

O'Shea commented that he grew up in communities where sidewalks were commonplace and people walked on them. He lives on a corner, and there is a sidewalk immediately south of his property on 13 Mile Road. He observes that sidewalks are used by a lot of people. O'Shea stated that people in his subdivision want a pathway for people to use for bicycling and walking on one side of 13 Mile Road.

O'Shea related that there is concern among those present that the intent is to install sidewalks in every subdivision. That would be difficult in areas where there are hills and trees. Because his property abuts 13 Mile Road, O'Shea is concerned that funding of that sidewalk will fall on his shoulders. O'Shea asked what is available from the Village Council with respect to sidewalk funds.

Fox responded that funding of projects is not the function of the Planning Board. The Planning Board is here to study and make recommendations to Council based on its review and input from the community. Council has the responsibility for funding.

George Omelianoff of 19500 Riverside asked if the planner has any statistics on the number of people who have been injured or killed due to absence of sidewalks. If not, he asked why sidewalks are being considered.

Craig Mercier of 19264 Riverside Drive asked how much money was budgeted for the Planning Board's sidewalk study and whether the money could go back to the general fund.

Maryann O'Shea of 31021 Old Stage in North Georgetown responded to a previous comment about litter. She thinks that litter comes from people's cars and sidewalks will not create a problem with litter. O'Shea stated that she has to walk along 13 Mile Road or Lahser Road in order to get from one subdivision to the other. It is very treacherous because the shoulder is close to the street and there are speeding cars. She would like to see sidewalks around the perimeter of the Village but not within subdivisions.

Doyle Downey of 31865 Lincolnshire West and a member of Council stated that he is in favor of a study for the safety of pedestrians in the Village. Downey focused attention on his clothing, which was dark blue. He recently observed someone jogging on the side of 13 Mile Road wearing dark blue clothes which made that person extremely difficult to see. Downey lives in Georgetown and would love to walk along 13 Mile Road to access other parts of the Village. He believes that there are safety items that can be addressed, and he appreciates that the Planning Board is studying this issue.

Tom Sheehan of 32805 Faircrest at Riverside Drive stated that he went through a sidewalk campaign in another community before he moved to Beverly Hills. People realized the benefits of having sidewalks, and the program was done successfully with minimal objection.

Sheehan commented that people walking on the road on Riverside are an impediment to traffic. He sees a need for sidewalks and thinks that people who want sidewalks should have them. Sheehan thinks that sidewalks on major roads would benefit the entire Village because it would make it possible for people to walk throughout the neighborhoods.

Norman Rubin of 31020 Rivers Edge Court stated that installing sidewalks will cause damage to vegetation and trees along some of the roads and change the appearance and character of the Village. He moved to Rivers Edge Court because he did not want a subdivision look. He commented on the beauty of 13 Mile Road starting at Kennoway and extending to the western edge of the Village and continuing through Bingham Farms and Franklin to Inkster Road.

Rubin responded to a concern raised regarding safety. He is as concerned about safety as anyone. Rubin lives adjacent to 13 Mile Road and questions why anyone would want to put a sidewalk on a road with such a high accident rate or on Lahser Road, which carries an inordinate amount of traffic.

Rubin maintains that people will litter sidewalks just as they do by throwing litter from cars onto the rights-of-way.

Rubin distributed a report he prepared relative to the destinations that are shown on the focus area map. He counted 27 destinations and tried to determine whether or not a sidewalk leading to that destination would actually be used by residents of the Village. He determined that half of them would not. Rubin summarized the results of his study.

Frank Worrell stated that he served on the Village Council and was involved with infrastructure issues. He commented on the high cost estimates that were obtained for projects to fill in the gaps in

the Village's existing sidewalk network. Worrell watched the February 7 Council meeting where the Council was justifying a 2 mill increase for capital projects. The Council President insisted that there was no funding in the five year capital plan for sidewalks.

Worrell questioned how the sidewalk projects being discussed will be funded if there is no money allocated for sidewalks in the millage cap proposal. He does not think the Village should consider a sidewalk program until after that five year period. Worrell perceives a conflict between what the Planning Board and Council is doing.

Worrell stated that residents who want sidewalks can submit a petition signed by 51% of the property owners on a street requesting a special assessment district for sidewalk construction. He also suggested that the public safety department can identify the dangerous places for pedestrian crossings and install crosswalks using money available in the current budget.

Neil Hitz of 19216 Riverside questioned the cost of this study and the goals. Birchler stated that Council budgeted up to \$7,500 to complete this study. He reviewed that the Planning Board forwarded a number of projects to Council for its consideration for funding as part of a work program for the current fiscal year. The sidewalk study was one of the funded projects.

Birchler stated that the purpose of the study is to analyze the current state of pedestrian accessibility within the Village and to make any appropriate recommendations as to how that might be improved over the coming years. This study was not defined as a plan to develop a system of sidewalks or bike paths throughout the Village. The improvements recommended may be short term measures that are designed to address safety issues or missing links in sidewalk, or they may be long terms proposals for connections between two points.

Hitz commented that he walks on the sidewalks and the streets and agrees that the shoulder of Evergreen Road is a dangerous place to walk because of speeding cars. He thinks the sidewalk study should proceed, but part of this study should be to determine how much sidewalks cost and whether there is money in the budget over the next several years to even consider spending much more discussion time on this subject. He suggests that the Planning Board wrap this up as quickly as possible and move on to other issues that can be put into effect in the next couple of years.

Sandra Fitzpatrick of 19344 Riverside Drive commented that, even where there are sidewalks and bike paths, people ride in the street because there are obstacles on the sidewalks.

Bob Barrett of 19300 Riverside stated that he likes the beauty and character of the area. Installation of sidewalks would mean removing trees. Barrett is opposed to spending a tremendous amount on sidewalks when they will not be used much.

Gary Rembacki of 31084 Rivers Edge Court understands that the Planning Board has a vision. He asked when the sidewalk plan will be presented to Council. Rembacki thinks that it should be the responsibility of the Planning Board to provide the Council with cost figures relative to sidewalk construction. Borowski responded that the Planning Board expects to present study recommendations to Council in May.

George Barnes of 30980 Wendbrook expressed his opposition to sidewalks as a resident of 24 years. He stated that he moved into the area for the beauty and character of the Village.

Dorothy Mercier of 19264 Riverside remarked that she moved to Beverly Hills from Connecticut looking for a semi-rural looking neighborhood. She commented on the value of a community such as this with trees and mature vegetation.

Nanci Freedman of 32460 Evergreen commented that she prefers the rural look of Beverly Hills and believes that sidewalks interfere with that look. She has been a member of the Village Zoning Board of Appeals for over 10 years. That body regularly hears from residents who want to maintain the character of this community with openness and green space.

Freedman stated that her lot extends from Evergreen to the mill pond. People walk in that area and there is litter along her lot line. She would be concerned about the cost to residents if they were called upon to pay for sidewalks abutting their property. Liability issues are also a concern. Gary Mack of 19263 Riverside Drive voiced his opposition to constructing sidewalks.

David Tillman of 30665 Vernon Drive stated that he is in favor of sidewalks on the basis that they would improve the quality of his life and that of his family. He thinks Beverly Hills is a good place in which to live, but sidewalks would make it a better place to live.

Andy Craig of 31239 Sunset, president of the Village Council, stated that he is in favor of sidewalks in some areas and opposed to sidewalks in other areas. He does not want a sidewalk in front of his house. He supports the Planning Board study of bikeways, walkways and pedestrian safety. Craig remarked that there have been groups of residents who have come before Council requesting sidewalk installation and, in a recent case, willing to pay for sidewalk along 14 Mile Road in their area.

This study and recommendation will tell the Council where to focus its efforts if sidewalks are constructed. Sidewalks on some major streets may be clearly needed. Sidewalks on a residential street may not be required. If sidewalks are installed, it should be done in an educated, efficient manner. The idea of tonight's meeting is an open discussion to gather ideas.

Craig stated that the Village Council met on Monday night and discussed the upcoming millage cap increase. The millage increase will be used for needs that will maintain the quality of service in the Village. While sidewalks may be needed in a few select spots in the Village, sidewalks are more of a quality of life issue.

Cynthia Rubin of 31020 Rivers Edge Court understands that Council has said that there is no money for the funding of sidewalks or pathways. She is concerned that federal funding will become available for sidewalk construction and is opposed to the Village funding sidewalks.

Alda Marie Cook stated that she does not think it can be assumed that there will be immediate safety because there is a sidewalk. It is not known whether people are going to use the sidewalks. Installing a sidewalk does not mean that there will be less injuries or accidents.

Maryann O'Shea suggested that the Planning Board survey the entire populous of Beverly Hills regarding their views on sidewalks. She does not think the Planning Board is receiving a valid assessment of how everyone in the Village feels about sidewalks. The discussion is being dominated by one neighborhood this evening.

There were no further comments from the public.

Jensen remarked that the public input was helpful. Bliven also said that he appreciated the comments made tonight. We know where the residents present tonight do not want sidewalks.

Bliven stated that he rides his bike on the sidewalk in the Village, and there are areas where it is not safe. He described a particular location where a sidewalk is needed to access a crosswalk at Dunblaine and Southfield on the right-of-way to Southfield Road.

Fox reiterated that the Planning Board is just beginning this sidewalk study. The process will include collecting all previous data on this subject. The Planning Board will receive copies of the 1985 sidewalk feasibility study and the map showing gaps in existing sidewalks along with any other documentation that exists.

Fox stated that the Planning Board may recommend sidewalks in certain areas that link parks to schools for safety reasons. If a sidewalk plan is adopted by Council, it may be possible to install a bike path without major cost in conjunction with a road resurfacing program. The idea is to arrive at a plan for the future. Some of the recommendations that result from this study such as crosswalk lines or signage may not involve extra costs. The purpose is to gather information and make recommendation from a planning perspective.

Fox thought that there were some good comments made tonight but was disappointed that public comments were focused on whether that individual was in opposition or in favor of sidewalks in general. The Planning Board is looking for substantive issues regarding pedestrian safety in specific places. We want to accommodate people who walk and move about in the Village from one place to another. Fox asked the residents present to contact the Planning Board if they think of a trouble spot where a walkway or a crosswalk would be of benefit. The Planning Board is looking for input that tells us this is going to make our community better if we plan for it in the future.

John Smith thanked Norman and Cynthia Rubin for the effort they put into preparing a walkable community destinations report. It is a constructive approach to show where people are walking. Smith related that this sidewalk study is part of the circulation plan for the community which is addressed in the Village master plan. The Planning Board is looking at a general problem of how to circulate people in all forms. Money to fund sidewalks that is not available now may be in the future. He invited the residents back to the next public forum on sidewalks.

Tillman thanked everyone present for sharing their thoughts with the Planning Board. There is a consensus that Beverly Hills is a beautiful place in which to live. Everyone is interested in making sure it remains a beautiful and desirable community. She thinks that there can be multiple goals of preserving the beauty of the community while considering some sidewalks that enhance safety.

Domzal thinks that priorities should be established with respect to sidewalks and safety concerns so that some of projects can proceed when there are funding sources available. He commented that it is unfortunate that there is a natural beauty road (Evergreen Road) in the Village than cannot be seen on foot. It is difficult to access the Douglas-Evans nature preserve.

Borowski read a letter to the Planning Board from Bobby Watson of 31680 Lahser in opposition to pathways. She states that she choose to live in Beverly Hills because of its country charm, large lots and no sidewalks. Pathways represent a security risk and are not an enhancement to property.

A letter from Mr. and Mrs. Bertoni of 30335 Woodhaven indicates that they support sidewalks on main roads in Beverly Hills.

A letter from Robert Fitzgerald expressed opposition to proposed sidewalk installation in his area.

Borowski was pleased with the participation of the residents present. This is not a black and white issue in his opinion, and there are problems that should be solved. He thinks that a plan should be in place that will help the Village make sound decisions in the future.

A recess was called at 9:15 p.m. The meeting was reconvened at 9:25 p.m.

#### **REVIEW AND RANK PRIORITY LIST**

Using the list of priority projects discussed at the last meeting, Belaustegui led the Planning Board through a process of eliminating projects and ranking the top priorities for next year's work program.

The projects under consideration are as follows:

##### Commercial Site Design and Development Standards

Incorporate design elements of the Site Development Handbook into the Zoning Ordinance in order to refine the Village's development requirements. Reviewing and revising the handbook will not be part of this process. The intent is to encourage the highest quality development possible in the Village.

##### Site Plan and Development Manual

This would formalize the process for site plan review. The consultant would be asked to review the existing 1985 site plan review manual and provide a cost estimate for updating it. The process would be modified to make it less onerous for people doing minor projects. The manual will provide a concise overview of the development and site plan review process. It will be a procedural guide that explains what the applicant has to go through to get a plan approved once it has been properly designed.

Southfield Road

Business district parking and traffic flow; Sub-area development plan.

Driveway spacing and design standards; physical connection between businesses; parking needs analysis; adaptive redevelopment potential of individual sites throughout the corridor and improved pedestrian access and safety.

Residential area traffic calming study north of Beverly Road.

Village Entranceway Signs

This project would involve a presentation of sign inventory compiled by Planning Board members and recommendations on sign design and location.

Natural Beauty Road Guidelines

There are no guidelines in the Village Ordinance with respect to maintaining the natural beauty aspect of that portion of Evergreen Road designated as a natural beauty road in 1984.

Fox, who is chairperson of the Village Woman's Club's Community Improvement Committee, informed the Board that this committee will be proposing that money be donated towards entry signs this year. A study with specific recommendations will assure backing from community groups.

Underground Utilities

The issue is to establish a plan to do what can be done to encourage a move from above ground to below ground utility service.

13 Mile Road Corridor Study

- X East of Southfield
- X Southfield to Evergreen
- X West of Kennoway

Examine corridor to identify existing conditions, development trends, redevelopment potential, and traffic and circulation issues that require action. Prepare corridor improvement, future use and redevelopment plans.

14 Mile Road Corridor Study (east of Southfield Road)

This is a study to prepare for Oakland County plans in conjunction with Birmingham and Beverly Hills for widening 14 Mile Road from Southfield to Greenfield Roads. Redevelopment and review of zoning classification will be studied.

Accessory Structures

Review current accessory structure standards regarding size, placement and number permitted and amend ordinance if necessary.

Byrwa stated that the Village Building Department issued 62 permits over the last five years for either new garages or garage additions throughout Beverly Hills. There were 27 permits issued for separate sheds in the rear yards. This is the first time there has been a major complaint from someone regarding accessory structures. People who request larger structures than allowed by the Ordinance have appeared before the Zoning Board of Appeals to request a variance. Byrwa does not believe that this is a serious problem.

Belaustegui questioned whether there are any other study topics to be considered.

Craig stated that he is being asked what the Village intends to do with the Abig foot≅ phenomenon being experienced in nearby communities. This issue relates to the replacement of small homes by larger homes on small lots.

Board members believe that funding has been approved for the Planning Board to review residential site design and redevelopment standards. The intent of this study is to address the potential impact of "big foot" redevelopment in the Village. This study will be held over until next year.

There was a thorough discussion of the priority projects. It was the consensus of the Planning Board to submit the following 2000-2001 priority list to Council for consideration during budget deliberations. The remainder of the projects discussed may be future priorities.

1. Southfield Road - Business district parking and traffic flow and sub-area redevelopment plan.
2. Commercial Site Development Handbook - incorporate design elements into Zoning Ordinance.
3. 14 Mile Road east of Southfield Corridor Study
4. Village Entry Signs
5. Underground Utilities

**MEMO FROM VILLAGE MANAGER REGARDING APPOINTMENT OF ONE PLANNING BOARD MEMBER FOR SERVICE ON THE BEAUTIFICATION AWARDS COMMITTEE**

Bob Bliven volunteered to sit on a new committee that recognizes the efforts of residents with respect to the beautification of their property. It was the general consensus that Bliven be appointed as Planning Board representative to the Beautification Awards Committee.

**PLANNING BOARD COMMENTS**

Tillman commended Borowski on his handling of the public hearing. She suggested that board members encourage citizen participation in the sidewalk study in order to receive input from a larger segment of the community.

Fox thought it might be useful to ask for input on bikeways and safety paths from the student government at Groves High School and other schools, Parent/Teacher organizations and churches in the area. It was noted that letters were sent to all schools and homeowner association groups requesting their input. Belaustegui suggests requesting written responses from all of the active homeowner associations.

Smith announced that April 1 is Census Day. The data collected in the 2000 Census is used by planners for the entire decade. The importance for Beverly Hills is that it receives some of its revenue from the federal government on a formula based on population. He encouraged the Council President to bring this up at the next Council meeting, which is broadcast on cable television. Volunteers who can work for a short period of time with the U.S. Census Bureau should contact the Bureau office in Southfield.

Bliven informed the board that the County assessor's office is in the process of retaining people to walk through Oakland County and take a picture of everyone's house for use in the assessing department. Pictures of each home in Oakland County will be available *from the County*.

A seminar entitled "Designing streets for Walkable Communities" sponsored by Oakland County is being held on March 9 at a cost of \$25 per person. Bliven is interested in attending.

Jensen distributed an article on the issue of "big foot" housing in Palo Alto, California. It indicates that design guidelines implemented for different neighborhoods were not effective. Another article from the March/April issue of Metropolitan Home describes how a community in Seattle created an ordinance that encouraged smaller, better housing. It is a positive piece that addresses how to encourage affordable smaller houses.

Belaustegui commented that the problem with writing ordinances to prevent Abig foot development is that restrictions are included that people will design around resulting in homes that are far worse than you might imagine.

Borowski referred to a memo dated January 31, 2000 updating Village boards about the Beverly Hills web page and soliciting input to establish how individual's envision the village web site to look.

Board members received a letter from Council President Andy Craig about the millage cap increase. Borowski urged the board to read the material and think about the ballot proposition.

Fox mentioned that she received a notice from the Birmingham Coalition about an effort being made to convince retailers in downtown Birmingham to stay open later for teenagers and welcome them. Fox talked about the need for young people to have somewhere to congregate. There is no place in our suburban communities for teenagers and young people to hang out. She would love to have a center where kids could gather with some kind of adult supervision and focus.

#### **PLANNING CONSULTANT'S COMMENTS**

Birchler commented on the importance of Fox's comments about having a place for young people to gather.

#### **BUILDING OFFICIAL'S COMMENTS**

Byrwa stated that Robert Donohue from Oakland County will be at the February 23 Planning Board meeting to give a presentation on commercial redevelopment projects.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Walsh provided some background on the status of the Village's Downtown Development Authority, which was established in December of 1985. The Birmingham School District filed a lawsuit against Beverly Hills in early 1986 on the basis that its tax base would be negatively affected by the taxing authority set up by the DDA. The parties entered into a consent judgment and the DDA was dropped.

Craig sent a letter to board members and people who have a leadership role in the community explaining the millage increase. He is attempting to disseminate information to people in the Village.

Craig talked about the park fund raiser being held at the Beverly Hills Club on St. Patrick's Day. He encouraged people to come and enjoy the activities with their families.

MOTION by Smith, supported by Fox, that the meeting be adjourned at 10:49 p.m.  
Motion passes unanimously.

#### **Carry over items:**

- 1- Entranceway signs (10-27-99)
- 2 - Bikeways, walkways and pedestrian safety study (11-11-99).
- 3- Planning Board public hearing on Zoning Ordinance text amendments scheduled for March 8, 2000.

**Vincent Borowski, chairperson  
Planning Board**

**Ellen E. Marshall  
Village Clerk**